

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

### PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING LORI MASSA, SENIOR PLANNER SARAH WHITE, PRESERVATION PLANNER ETHAN LAY-SLEEPER, PLANNER DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Date: November 18, 2015

**Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

# PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 72 Dimick Street

**Applicant Name:** Kevin Kee

Applicant Address: 33 Walnut Street, Unit 3, Somerville, MA 02143

Owner Name: Kevin Kee

Owner Address: 33 Walnut Street, Unit 3, Somerville, MA 02143

**Alderman:** Maryann M. Heuston

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Kevin Kee, seeks a Special Permit per SZO §4.4.1 to alter a non-conforming structure by building a 3-story front porch within the front yard setback. RB zone. Ward 2.

Dates of Public Hearing: Zoning Board of Appeals – November 18, 2015

### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property is an existing triple-decker residential building situated on a 3,049 square foot lot in the RB district. There is currently a covered, open entry portico on the first floor on the front elevation of the structure.
- 2. <u>Proposal:</u> The proposal includes the removal of the existing entry portico and the construction of a three-story front porch. The 3<sup>rd</sup> story of the



porch will not have a roof. The existing entry portico and the replacement front porches are all within the front yard setback, triggering the Special Permit.



Date: November 18, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick St.

- 3. <u>Green Building Practices</u>: None specified.
- 4. <u>Comments:</u>

Ward Alderman: Maryann Heuston has been notified of this project.

# II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>
  - Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."
  - The structure is currently non-conforming with respect to the front yard setback. The RB zoning district requires a front yard setback of 15 feet (with a 10-foot minimum for features such as decks and unenclosed porches). The current setback is 2.5 feet. The applicant proposes retaining this 2.5-foot setback, slightly extending tits length and creating 3 stories of open front porches.
  - This intensification of the existing non-conformity requires the Applicant to obtain a Special Permit under §4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO).

Section 4.4.1 states that Lawfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of <u>Article 5</u>. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character.

- In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, Staff finds that the alterations proposed to this legal triple-decker would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than those present on the existing structure. Many of the surrounding triple-deckers have open front porches significantly within the front yard setback.
- The addition of three stories of unenclosed porches is consistent with many of the other triple-decker buildings in the surrounding neighborhood as evidenced in the photo array supplied in the application. The proposed open porches are in keeping with the neighborhood character and, as proposed, will visually enhance the appearance of the building.

Page 3 of 5

Date: November 18, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick St.

- 3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."
  - The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to encourage housing for persons of all income levels.
  - The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district.
- 4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."
  - The surrounding neighborhood is dominated by triple-decker 3-family residential structures with similar form and massing and many with three-story open front porches.
  - There are few to no impacts from the proposal. The proposed changes are compatible with the use, form, and massing of other triple-deckers in the immediate area. The addition of these three open front porches is a reasonable accommodation to make in order to provide building residents with additional outdoor space.
- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
  - The proposal will not impact the existing stock of affordable housing.
- 7. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.
  - The proposal will not contribute to the metrics of SomerVision but allow the property owner to make some modifications to their home.

# III. RECOMMENDATION

# Special Permit under §4.4.1

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT.** 

Page 4 of 5

Date: November 18, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick St.

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
	Approval is to construct open front porches on all three stories of a triple-decker. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/ Plng.		
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission				
	August 27, 2015	Initial application submitted to Planning Department				
	September 1, 2015	Modified plans submitted OSPCD				
	October 16, 2015	Final plans submitted to OSPCD				
	October 22, 2015	Certified site plan submitted to OSPCD				
	Any changes to the approved plan that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.					
Con	struction Impacts					
2	The Applicant shall, at his expequipment (including, but not signs, traffic signal poles, traffic hair ramps, granite curbing, immediately abutting the subject result of construction activity, driveways must be constructed.	СО	DPW			
3	All construction materials and onsite. If occupancy of the str occupancy must be in conform the Manual on Uniform Traffic prior approval of the Traffic a be obtained.	During Construction	T&P			
Des		1	P:1	XX7:		
4	An exterior light and electrical first level of the porch and an required for the upper levels.	Il receptacle is required for the electrical receptacle is	Final sign off	Wiring Insp		
Miscellaneous						

Page 5 of 5

Date: November 18, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick St.

5	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well-kept and in good and safe working order.	Cont.	ISD			
Public Safety						
6	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP			
	Bureau's requirements.					
Final Sign-Off						
7	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.			
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off				
	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was					
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information					
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.					